

# PAIR CORRELATION AND TWIN PRIMES REVISITED

BRIAN CONREY AND JONATHAN P. KEATING

**ABSTRACT.** We establish a connection between the conjectural two-over-two ratios formula for the Riemann zeta-function and a conjecture concerning correlations of a certain arithmetic function. Specifically, we prove that the ratios conjecture and the arithmetic correlations conjecture imply the same result. This casts a new light on the underpinnings of the ratios conjecture, which previously had been motivated by analogy with formulae in random matrix theory and by a heuristic recipe.

Montgomery in his famous pair correlation paper [Mon] used heuristics based on the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture concerning the distribution of prime pairs [HL] to conclude that pairs of zeros of the Riemann zeta-function have the same scaled statistics, in the limit in which their height up the critical tends to infinity, as pairs of eigenvalues of large random Hermitian matrices (or of unitary matrices with Haar measure). Montgomery did not give the details of the calculation involving twin primes in his paper, but that calculation has been repeated with variations several times in the literature, for example by Bolanz [Bol], Keating [K], Goldston and Gonek [GG], and Bogomolny and Keating [BK1, BK2]. Goldston and Montgomery [GM] proved rigorously that the pair correlation conjecture is equivalent to an asymptotic formula for the variance of the number of primes in short intervals, and Montgomery and Soundararajan [MS] proved that this variance formula follows from the Hardy-Littlewood prime-pair conjecture, under certain assumptions.

In a slightly different vein, Bogomolny and Keating [BK3, BK4] and later Conrey and Snaith [CSn] developed methods to give more precise estimates for the pair correlation (and higher correlations) of Riemann zeros. Bogomolny and Keating gave four different heuristic methods to accomplish this, while Conrey and Snaith used a uniform version of what is known as the ratios conjecture from which assumption they could rigorously derive this precise form of pair correlation. All of these methods lead to the same formulae.

In this paper we reconsider this circle of ideas from yet another perspective, namely that of deriving a form of the ratios conjecture from consideration of correlations between the values of a certain arithmetic function. This provides a new perspective on the underpinnings

---

*Date:* August 29, 2016.

We gratefully acknowledge support under EPSRC Programme Grant EP/K034383/1 LMF: L-Functions and Modular Forms. Research of the first author was also supported by the American Institute of Mathematics and by a grant from the National Science Foundation. JPK is grateful for the following additional support: a grant from the Leverhulme Trust, a Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award, and a Royal Society Leverhulme Senior Research Fellowship, and a grant from the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Air Force Material Command, USAF (number FA8655-10-1-3088). He is also pleased to thank the American Institute of Mathematics for hospitality during a visit where this work started.

of the ratios conjecture, which previously had been motivated by analogy with formulae in random matrix theory and by a heuristic recipe [CFKRS1, CFKRS2, CFZ]. This is similar to how, in a recent series of papers [CK1–4] we have shown that moment conjectures previously developed using random matrix theory [KS, CFKRS2] may be recovered from correlations of divisor sums.

The twin prime conjectures are easily stated in terms of the von Mangoldt function  $\Lambda(n)$  which is the generating function for  $-\zeta'/\zeta$  (see, for example, [G]):

$$-\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s}$$

or equivalently

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } n = p^k \text{ for some prime } p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In the Conrey-Snaith approach zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  are detected as poles of  $\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s)$  which in turn is realized via

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = \frac{d}{d\alpha} \frac{\zeta(s+\alpha)}{\zeta(s+\gamma)} \Big|_{\substack{\alpha=0 \\ \gamma=0}}.$$

Passing to coefficients, we write

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha,\gamma}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta(s+\alpha)}{\zeta(s+\gamma)};$$

explicitly

$$I_{\alpha,\gamma}(n) = \sum_{de=n} \frac{\mu(e)}{d^\alpha e^\gamma}.$$

Notice that

$$I_{\alpha,\gamma}(n) = n^r I_{\alpha+r,\gamma+r}(n)$$

for any  $r$ . Also we have

$$\Lambda(n) = -\frac{d}{d\alpha} I_{\alpha,\gamma}(n) \Big|_{\substack{\alpha=0 \\ \gamma=0}}.$$

Here we will investigate the averages

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(T) := \int_0^\infty \psi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \frac{\zeta(s+\alpha)\zeta(1-s+\beta)}{\zeta(s+\gamma)\zeta(1-s+\delta)} dt$$

where  $s = 1/2 + it$  and  $\psi(z)$  is holomorphic in a strip around the real axis and decreases rapidly on the real axis. Not surprisingly,  $\mathcal{R}$  is related to averages of the (analytic continuation of the) Rankin-Selberg convolution

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}(n)I_{\beta,\delta}(n)}{n^s}.$$

In fact, the simplest case of the ratios conjecture asserts that

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(T) = \int_0^\infty \psi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \left( \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(1) + \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\alpha-\beta} \mathcal{B}_{-\beta,-\alpha,\gamma,\delta}(1) \right) dt + O(T^{1-\eta})$$

for some  $\eta > 0$ . It is also not surprising that  $\mathcal{R}$  is connected to weighted averages over  $n$  and  $h$  of

$$I_{\alpha,\gamma}(n)I_{\beta,\delta}(n+h).$$

It is this connection that we are elucidating. Using the  $\delta$ -method it transpires that these weighted averages may be expressed in terms of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(s) &:= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{|w-1|=\epsilon} \int_{|z-1|=\epsilon} \chi(w+z-s-1) \sum_{q=1}^\infty \sum_{h=1}^\infty \frac{r_q(h)}{h^{s+2-w-z}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m)e(m/q)}{m^w} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{I_{\gamma,\delta}(n)e(n/q)}{n^z} dw dz \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_q(h)$  denotes Ramanujan's sum and where  $\chi(s)$  is the factor from the functional equation  $\zeta(s) = \chi(s)\zeta(1-s)$ ; also here and elsewhere  $\epsilon$  is chosen to be larger than the absolute values of the shift parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  but smaller than  $1/2$ . The result that ties this all together is the following identity.

**Theorem 1.** *Assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(s) = \mathcal{B}_{-\beta,-\alpha,\gamma,\delta}(s+1).$$

In a recent series of papers [CK1–4] we have outlined a method that involves convolutions of coefficient correlations and leads to conclusions for averages of truncations of products of shifted zeta-functions implied by the recipe of [CFKRS2]. In this paper we strike out in a new direction, using similar ideas to evaluate averages of truncations of products of ratios of shifted zeta-functions. In particular, the approach of Bogolmony & Keating [BK1, BK2] on convolutions of shifted coefficient sums guide the calculations and we are led, as in the previous series, to formulate a kind of multi-dimensional Hardy-Littlewood circle method. This first paper, as indicated above, may be viewed in a more classical context.

It turns out to be convenient to study an average of the ratios conjecture. To this end let

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha,\gamma}(s; X) = \sum_{n \leq X} I_{\alpha,\gamma}(n) n^{-s}.$$

We are interested in the average over  $t$  of  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha,\gamma} \overline{\mathcal{I}_{\beta,\delta}}$  in the case that  $X = T^\lambda$  for some  $\lambda > 1$ . (When  $\lambda < 1$  this average is dominated by diagonal terms.) We give two different treatments of the average of “truncated” ratios:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(T; X) := \int_0^\infty \psi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \mathcal{I}_{\alpha,\gamma}(s, X) \mathcal{I}_{\beta,\delta}(1-s, X) dt$$

(where again  $s = 1/2 + it$ ) which lead to the same answer. The first is by the ratios conjecture and the second is by consideration of the correlations of the coefficients.

In each case we prove

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  be complex numbers smaller than  $1/4$  in absolute value. Then, assuming either a uniform version of the ratios conjecture or a uniform version of a conjectured formula for correlations of values of  $I_{\alpha, \gamma}(n)$  (Conjecture 1, Section 4), we have for some  $\eta > 0$  and some  $\lambda > 1$ ,*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}(T; X) = \int_0^\infty \psi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re s=2} \left( \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}(s+1) + \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\alpha-\beta-s} \mathcal{B}_{-\beta, -\alpha, \gamma, \delta}(s+1) \right) \frac{X^s}{s} ds dt + O(T^{1-\eta}).$$

This shows that the ratios conjecture follows not only from the 'recipe' of [CFRKS2, CFZ], but also relates to correlations of values of  $I_{\alpha, \gamma}(n)$ .

## 1. APPROACH VIA THE RATIOS CONJECTURE

We have

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha, \gamma}(s, X) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha, \gamma}(s+w) \frac{X^w}{w} dw;$$

there is a similar expression for  $\mathcal{I}_{\beta, \delta}(s, X)$ . Inserting these expressions and rearranging the integrations we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}(T; X) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\Re w=2} \int_{\Re z=2} \frac{X^{w+z}}{wz} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+w, \beta+z, \gamma+w, \delta+z}(T) dw dz.$$

We observe from the expression (1) for the ratios conjecture that the integrand  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha+w, \beta+z, \gamma+w, \delta+z}$  is, to leading order in  $T$ , expected to be a function of  $z+w$ . We therefore make the change of variable  $s = z+w$ ; now the integration in the  $s$  variable is on the vertical line  $\Re s = 4$ . We retain  $z$  as our other variable and integrate over it. This turns out to be the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re z=2} \frac{dz}{z(s-z)} = \frac{1}{s}$$

as is seen by moving the path of integration to the left to  $\Re z = -\infty$ . Thus we have that  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}(T; X)$  is given to leading order by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re s=4} \frac{X^s}{s} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+s, \beta, \gamma+s, \delta}(T) ds.$$

We move the path of integration to  $\Re s = \epsilon$ , avoiding crossing any poles, insert the ratios conjecture (1) (c.f. the uniform version as laid out in [CSn]), and observe that

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha+s, \beta, \gamma+s, \delta}(1) = \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}(s+1).$$

In this way we have that the uniform ratios conjecture implies the conclusion of Theorem 2.

## 2. APPROACH VIA COEFFICIENT CORRELATIONS

We follow the methodology developed in the work [GG] of Goldston and Gonek on mean-values of long Dirichlet polynomials.

If we expand the sums and integrate term-by-term we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(T; X) = T \sum_{m,n \leq X} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m) I_{\beta,\delta}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}} \hat{\psi} \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{m}{n} \right).$$

**2.1. Diagonal.** The diagonal term is

$$T \hat{\psi}(0) \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m) I_{\beta,\delta}(m)}{m}.$$

By Perron's formula the sum here is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(s+1) \frac{X^s}{s} ds.$$

**2.2. Off-diagonal.** For the off-diagonal terms we need to analyze

$$2T \sum_{T \leq m \leq X} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq \frac{X}{T}} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m) I_{\beta,\delta}(m+h)}{m} \hat{\psi} \left( \frac{Th}{2\pi m} \right).$$

We replace the arithmetic terms by their average and express this as

$$2T \int_T^X \sum_{1 \leq h \leq \frac{X}{T}} \frac{\langle I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m) I_{\beta,\delta}(m+h) \rangle_{m \sim u}}{u} \hat{\psi} \left( \frac{Th}{2\pi u} \right) du.$$

We compute the average heuristically via the delta-method:

$$\langle I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m) I_{\beta,\delta}(m+h) \rangle_{m \sim u} \sim \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} r_q(h) \langle I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m) e(m/q) \rangle_{m \sim u} \langle I_{\beta,\delta}(m) e(m/q) \rangle_{m \sim u}$$

where  $r_q(h)$  is the Ramanujan sum, a formula for which is  $r_q(h) = \sum_{d|h} \mu(d) \frac{q}{d}$ ; note that to actually prove this formula would be as difficult as proving the Twin Prime conjecture. We formalise this as a precise conjecture in Section 4. It is this conjecture that we refer to in Theorem 2. Now

$$\langle I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m) e(m/q) \rangle_{m \sim u} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-1|=\epsilon} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m) e(m/q) m^{-w} u^{w-1} dw.$$

Thus, the off-diagonal contribution is

$$2T \sum_{1 \leq h \leq \frac{X}{T}} \int_T^X \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \iint_{\substack{|w-1|=\epsilon \\ |z-1|=\epsilon}} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} r_q(h) \hat{\psi} \left( \frac{Th}{2\pi u} \right) u^{w+z-2} \\ \times \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m_1)e(m_1/q)}{m_1^w} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\beta,\delta}(m_2)e(m_2/q)}{m_2^z} dw dz \frac{du}{u}.$$

We make the change of variables  $v = \frac{Th}{2\pi u}$ . The inequality  $u \leq X$  then implies that  $\frac{Th}{2\pi v} \leq X$  or  $h \leq \frac{2\pi v X}{T}$ . The above can be re-expressed as

$$2T \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq \frac{2\pi v X}{T}} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \iint_{\substack{|w-1|=\epsilon \\ |z-1|=\epsilon}} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} r_q(h) \hat{\psi}(v) \left( \frac{Th}{2\pi v} \right)^{w+z-2} \\ \times \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m_1)e(m_1/q)}{m_1^w} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\beta,\delta}(m_2)e(m_2/q)}{m_2^z} dw dz \frac{dv}{v}.$$

Using Perron's formula to capture the sum over  $h$  gives

$$2T \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3} \int_{\Re s=2} \iint_{\substack{|w-1|=\epsilon \\ |z-1|=\epsilon}} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_q(h)}{h^s} \hat{\psi}(v) \left( \frac{Th}{2\pi v} \right)^{w+z-2} \left( \frac{2\pi v X}{T} \right)^s \\ \times \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m_1)e(m_1/q)}{m_1^w} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\beta,\delta}(m_2)e(m_2/q)}{m_2^z} \frac{ds}{s} dw dz \frac{dv}{v}.$$

Now

$$2 \int_0^{\infty} \hat{\psi}(v) v^A \frac{dv}{v} = \chi(1-A) \int_0^{\infty} \psi(t) t^{-A} dt.$$

Incorporating this formula leads us to

$$T \int_0^{\infty} \psi(t) \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3} \int_{\Re s=2} \iint_{\substack{|w-1|=\epsilon \\ |z-1|=\epsilon}} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_q(h)}{h^{s+2-w-z}} \left( \frac{Tt}{2\pi} \right)^{w+z-2} \left( \frac{2\pi X}{tT} \right)^s \chi(w+z-s-1) \\ \times \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m_1)e(m_1/q)}{m_1^w} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\beta,\delta}(m_2)e(m_2/q)}{m_2^z} \frac{ds}{s} dw dz dt.$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, this is

$$\int_0^{\infty} \psi \left( \frac{t}{T} \right) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re s=2} \left( \frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{-\alpha-\beta-s} \mathcal{B}_{-\beta, -\alpha, \gamma, \delta}(s+1) \frac{X^s}{s} ds dt.$$

Thus, adding the diagonal and off-diagonal terms we obtain that the conjecture for the correlations of values of  $I_{\alpha,\gamma}(n)$  also implies the conclusion of Theorem 2.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

First of all, we have

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_q(h)}{h^A} = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{g|h} g \mu\left(\frac{q}{g}\right)}{h^A} = \sum_{g|q} g^{1-A} \mu\left(\frac{q}{g}\right) \zeta(A) = q^{1-A} \Phi(1-A, q) \zeta(A)$$

where

$$\Phi(x, q) = \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^x}\right).$$

Using this and the functional equation for  $\zeta$ , we have to evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \iint_{\substack{|w-1|=\epsilon \\ |z-1|=\epsilon}} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q^{w+z-s-1} \Phi(w+z-s-1, q) \\ & \times \zeta(w+z-s-1) \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m_1) e(m_1/q)}{m_1^w} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\beta,\delta}(m_2) e(m_2/q)}{m_2^z} dw dz. \end{aligned}$$

We can identify the polar structure of the Dirichlet series here by passing to characters via the formula

$$e\left(\frac{m}{q}\right) = \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d|q}} \frac{1}{\phi\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)} \sum_{\chi \bmod \frac{q}{d}} \tau(\bar{\chi}) \chi\left(\frac{m}{d}\right).$$

Assuming GRH, the only poles near  $w = 1$  arise from the principal characters  $\chi_{\frac{q}{d}}^{(0)}$ . Using

$$\tau(\chi_{\frac{q}{d}}^{(0)}) = \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)$$

we have that the poles of  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m) e(m/q) m^{-w}$  are the same as the poles of

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d|q} \frac{\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)}{\phi\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} I_{\alpha,\gamma}(md) \chi_{\frac{q}{d}}^{(0)}(m) m^{-w} d^{-w} \\ & = q^{-w} \sum_{d|q} \frac{\mu(d)}{\phi(d)} d^w \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}\left(\frac{mq}{d}\right) \chi_d^{(0)}(m)}{m^w} \end{aligned}$$

and the principal parts are the same. We replace  $\chi_d^{(0)}(m)$  by  $\sum_{e|m} \mu(e)$ . Thus we have

$$q^{-w} \sum_{d|q} \frac{\mu(d) d^w}{\phi(d)} \sum_{e|d} \mu(e) e^{-w} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}\left(\frac{meq}{d}\right)}{m^w}.$$

Now we need the polar structure of

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} I_{\alpha,\gamma}(mr) m^{-w}$$

for  $r = qe/d$ .

We use a lemma from [CGG] which asserts that if  $A(w) = B(w)C(w)$  where  $A(w) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(m)}{m^w}$ ,  $B(w) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b(m)}{m^w}$  and  $C(w) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(m)}{m^w}$  then

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(mr)}{m^w} = \sum_{r=r_1 r_2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b(mr_1)}{m^w} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ (m, r_1)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{c(mr_2)}{m^w}.$$

We apply this identity with  $a(m) = I_{\alpha, \gamma}(m)$ , with  $b(m) = m^{-\alpha}$  and with  $c(m) = \mu(m)m^{-\gamma}$ . Then

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b(mr_1)}{m^w} = r_1^{-\alpha} \zeta(w + \alpha)$$

and

$$\sum_{(m, r_1)=1} \frac{c(mr_2)}{m^w} = \sum_{(m, r_1)=1} \frac{\mu(mr_2)}{m^{w+\gamma} r_2^{\gamma}} = \frac{\mu(r_2)}{r_2^{\gamma}} \sum_{(m, r)=1} \mu(m) m^{-w-\gamma} = \frac{\mu(r_2) r_2^{-\gamma}}{\Phi(w + \gamma, r) \zeta(w + \gamma)}.$$

Now

$$\sum_{r=r_1 r_2} \mu(r_2) r_1^{-\alpha} r_2^{-\gamma} = r^{-\alpha} \sum_{r=r_1 r_2} \mu(r_2) r_2^{\alpha-\gamma} = r^{-\alpha} \Phi(\gamma - \alpha, r).$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha, \gamma}(mr)}{m^w} = \frac{\zeta(w + \alpha) r^{-\alpha} \Phi(\gamma - \alpha, r)}{\Phi(w + \gamma, r) \zeta(w + \gamma)}$$

In particular, we see that the only pole near to  $w = 1$  is at  $w = 1 - \alpha$  with residue

$$\frac{r^{-\alpha} \Phi(\gamma - \alpha, r)}{\Phi(1 + \gamma - \alpha, r) \zeta(1 + \gamma - \alpha)}.$$

Inserting this with  $r = qe/d$  into the above we now have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{w=1-\alpha} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha, \gamma}(m) e(\frac{m}{q})}{m^w} &= q^{\alpha-1} \sum_{d|q} \frac{\mu(d) d^{1-\alpha}}{\phi(d)} \sum_{e|d} \mu(e) e^{\alpha-1} \frac{(qe/d)^{-\alpha} \Phi(\gamma - \alpha, qe/d)}{\Phi(1 + \gamma - \alpha, qe/d) \zeta(1 + \gamma - \alpha)} \\ &= \frac{F_{\alpha, \gamma}(q)}{q \zeta(1 + \gamma - \alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F_{\alpha, \gamma}(q) = q^{\alpha} \sum_{d|q} \frac{\mu(d) d^{1-\alpha}}{\phi(d)} \sum_{e|d} \mu(e) e^{\alpha-1} \frac{(qe/d)^{-\alpha} \Phi(\gamma - \alpha, qe/d)}{\Phi(1 + \gamma - \alpha, qe/d)}$$



is a multiplicative function of  $q$ . At a prime  $p$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\alpha,\gamma}(p) &= p^\alpha \left( \frac{p^{-\alpha}\Phi(\gamma-\alpha,p)}{\Phi(1+\gamma-\alpha,p)} - \frac{p^{1-\alpha}}{p-1} \left( 1 - \frac{p^{\alpha-1}p^{-\alpha}\Phi(\gamma-\alpha,p)}{\Phi(1+\gamma-\alpha,p)} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{\Phi(\gamma-\alpha,p)}{\Phi(1+\gamma-\alpha,p)} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p-1} \right) - \frac{p}{p-1} \\
&= \frac{p}{(p-1)} \left( \frac{\Phi(\gamma-\alpha,p)}{\Phi(1+\gamma-\alpha,p)} - 1 \right) = \frac{p}{(p-1)} \left( \frac{(1-p^{\alpha-\gamma})}{(1-p^{-1+\alpha-\gamma})} - 1 \right) \\
&= \frac{p}{(p-1)} \frac{(-p^{\alpha-\gamma} + p^{-1+\alpha-\gamma})}{(1-p^{-1+\alpha-\gamma})} = \frac{-p^{\alpha-\gamma}}{(1-p^{-1+\alpha-\gamma})} = -p^{\alpha-\gamma} + O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

With  $w = 1 - \alpha$  and  $z = 1 - \beta$  we see that our sum is

$$\frac{\zeta(1-\alpha-\beta-s)}{\zeta(1-\alpha+\gamma)\zeta(1-\beta+\delta)} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q^{-1-\alpha-\beta-s} \Phi(1-\alpha-\beta-s, q) F_{\alpha,\gamma}(q) F_{\beta,\delta}(q)$$

Because of  $F_{\alpha,\gamma}(p) = -p^{\alpha-\gamma} + O(\frac{1}{p})$  we have

$$\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q^{-1-\alpha-\beta-s} \Phi(1-\alpha-\beta-s, q) F_{\alpha,\gamma}(q) F_{\beta,\delta}(q) = \zeta(1+\gamma+\delta+s) B_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(s)$$

where  $B$  is an Euler product that is absolutely convergent for  $s$  near 0. We claim that  $B_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(s) = A_{-\beta,-\alpha-s,\gamma+s,\delta}$ . This is easily seen to be equivalent to showing that

$$B_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(0) = A_{-\beta,-\alpha,\gamma,\delta}.$$

To prove this we first note that for  $j \geq 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\alpha,\gamma}(p^j) &= p^{j\alpha} \left( \frac{p^{-j\alpha}\Phi(\gamma-\alpha,p)}{\Phi(1+\gamma-\alpha,p)} - \frac{p^{1-\alpha}}{p-1} \left( \frac{p^{-(j-1)\alpha}\Phi(\gamma-\alpha,p)}{\Phi(1+\gamma-\alpha,p)} - p^{\alpha-1} \frac{p^{-\alpha j}\Phi(\gamma-\alpha,p)}{\Phi(1+\gamma-\alpha,p)} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{\Phi(\gamma-\alpha,p)}{\Phi(1+\gamma-\alpha,p)} \left( 1 - \frac{p}{(p-1)} + p^{\alpha-1} \right) = \frac{\Phi(\gamma-\alpha,p)}{\Phi(1+\gamma-\alpha,p)} \left( -\frac{1}{(p-1)} + \frac{1}{(p-1)} \right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now the sum of the series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p^{(-1-\alpha-\beta)j} \Phi(1-\alpha-\beta, p^j) F_{\alpha,\gamma}(p^j) F_{\beta,\delta}(p^j)$$

is just

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1 + p^{-1-\alpha-\beta} \Phi(1-\alpha-\beta, p) F_{\alpha, \gamma}(p) F_{\beta, \delta}(p) \\
&= 1 + \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1-\alpha-\beta}})}{p^{1+\alpha+\beta}} \frac{p^{\alpha-\gamma}}{(1 - p^{-1+\alpha-\gamma})} \frac{p^{\beta-\delta}}{(1 - p^{-1+\beta-\delta})} \\
&= 1 + \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1-\alpha-\beta}})}{p^{1+\gamma+\delta}(1 - p^{-1+\alpha-\gamma})(1 - p^{-1+\beta-\delta})} \\
&= (1 - \frac{1}{p^{1+\gamma+\delta}})^{-1} B_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^{(p)}(0)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^{(p)}(0) = (1 - \frac{1}{p^{1+\gamma+\delta}}) \left( 1 + \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1-\alpha-\beta}})}{p^{1+\gamma+\delta}(1 - p^{-1+\alpha-\gamma})(1 - p^{-1+\beta-\delta})} \right)$$

The identity will be proven provided we can show that

$$1 + \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1-\alpha-\beta}})}{p^{1+\gamma+\delta}(1 - p^{-1+\alpha-\gamma})(1 - p^{-1+\beta-\delta})} = \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1-\alpha+\gamma}} - \frac{1}{p^{1-\beta+\delta}} + \frac{1}{p^{1+\gamma+\delta}})}{(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1-\beta+\delta}})(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1-\alpha+\gamma}})}$$

This is equivalent to showing that

$$1 + \frac{XCD(1 - \frac{X}{AB})}{(1 - \frac{XC}{A})(1 - \frac{XD}{B})} = \frac{(1 - \frac{XC}{A} - \frac{XD}{B} + XCD)}{(1 - \frac{XD}{B})(1 - \frac{XC}{A})}$$

where  $X = \frac{1}{p}$ ;  $A = p^{-\alpha}$ ;  $B = p^{-\beta}$ ;  $C = p^{-\gamma}$ ;  $D = p^{-\delta}$ . This reduces to

$$(1 - \frac{XC}{A})(1 - \frac{XD}{B}) + XCD(1 - \frac{X}{AB}) = (1 - \frac{XC}{A} - \frac{XD}{B} + XCD)$$

or

$$(A - XC)(B - XD) + XCD(AB - X) = AB - XC - XD + XABCD$$

which is easily checked.

#### 4. CONJECTURE 1

We can use the results of the previous two sections to formulate the conjecture that is part of the input for Theorem 2.

We expect  $I_{\alpha, \gamma}(n)I_{\beta, \delta}(n+h)$  for  $n$  near  $u$  to behave on average like

$$\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} r_q(h) \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{|w-1|=\epsilon} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha, \gamma}(m)e(m/q)}{m^w} u^{w-1} dw \int_{|z-1|=\epsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\beta, \delta}(n)e(n/q)}{n^z} u^{z-1} dz.$$

The integrals over  $w$  and  $z$  are

$$\frac{F_{\alpha, \gamma}(q)u^{-\alpha}}{q\zeta(1+\gamma-\alpha)} \quad \frac{F_{\beta, \delta}(q)u^{-\beta}}{q\zeta(1+\delta-\beta)}$$

respectively. Thus,  $I_{\alpha,\gamma}(n)I_{\beta,\delta}(n+h)$  behaves like

$$\frac{n^{-\alpha-\beta}}{\zeta(1+\gamma-\alpha)\zeta(1+\delta-\beta)} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_q(h)F_{\alpha,\gamma}(q)F_{\beta,\delta}(q)}{q^2}.$$

In particular, we expect that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}(n)I_{\beta,\delta}(n+h)}{n^s} - \frac{\zeta(s+\alpha+\beta)}{\zeta(1+\gamma-\alpha)\zeta(1+\delta-\beta)} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_q(h)F_{\alpha,\gamma}(q)F_{\beta,\delta}(q)}{q^2}$$

is analytic in  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  for some  $\sigma_0 < 1$ .

This leads us to

**Conjecture 1.** *There are numbers  $\phi < 1$  and  $\psi > 0$  such that*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} I_{\alpha,\gamma}(n)I_{\beta,\delta}(n+h) = m(x, h) + O(x^\phi)$$

*uniformly for  $h \ll x^\psi$  where*

$$m(x, h) = \frac{1}{\zeta(1+\gamma-\alpha)\zeta(1+\delta-\beta)} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_q(h)F_{\alpha,\gamma}(q)F_{\beta,\delta}(q)}{q^2} \frac{x^{1-\alpha-\beta}}{1-\alpha-\beta}.$$

## 5. CONCLUSION

In subsequent papers we will extend this process to averages of truncated ratios with any number of factors in the numerator and denominator.

## 6. APPENDIX

For ease of comparison with results in the literature we give a more concrete expression for  $\mathcal{M}$ .

First of all, we note that the Rankin-Selberg Dirichlet series has an Euler product

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m)I_{\beta,\delta}(m)}{m^s} = \prod_p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}(p^j)I_{\beta,\delta}(p^j)}{p^{js}}.$$

Now

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} I_{\alpha,\gamma}(p^j)x^j = \frac{1-p^{-\gamma}x}{1-p^{-\alpha}x} = (1-p^{-\gamma}x)(1+p^{-\alpha}x+p^{-2\alpha}x^2+\dots)$$

so that

$$I_{\alpha,\gamma}(p^j) = \begin{cases} p^{-\alpha j}(1-p^{\alpha-\gamma}) & \text{if } j \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } j = 0 \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} I_{\alpha,\gamma}(p^j) I_{\beta,\delta}(p^j) x^j &= 1 + (1 - p^{\alpha-\gamma})(1 - p^{\beta-\delta}) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p^{-(\alpha+\beta)j} x^j \\ &= \frac{1 - p^{-\beta-\gamma}x - p^{-\alpha-\delta}x + p^{-\gamma-\delta}x}{1 - p^{-\alpha-\beta}x} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{\alpha,\gamma}(m) I_{\beta,\delta}(m)}{m^s} &= \zeta(s + \alpha + \beta) \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^{s+\beta+\gamma}} - \frac{1}{p^{s+\alpha+\delta}} + \frac{1}{p^{s+\gamma+\delta}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\zeta(s + \alpha + \beta) \zeta(s + \gamma + \delta)}{\zeta(s + \alpha + \delta) \zeta(s + \beta + \gamma)} A_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(s) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(s) = \prod_p \frac{\left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^{s+\gamma+\delta}} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^{s+\beta+\gamma}} - \frac{1}{p^{s+\alpha+\delta}} + \frac{1}{p^{s+\gamma+\delta}} \right)}{\left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^{s+\beta+\gamma}} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^{s+\alpha+\delta}} \right)}.$$

Now it is an easy exercise to calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(T; X) &= \int_0^\infty \psi \left( \frac{t}{T} \right) \left( \frac{\zeta(1 + \alpha + \beta) \zeta(1 + \gamma + \delta)}{\zeta(1 + \alpha + \delta) \zeta(1 + \beta + \gamma)} A_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(1) \right. \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{-\alpha-\beta} \frac{\zeta(1 - \beta - \alpha) \zeta(1 + \gamma + \delta)}{\zeta(1 - \beta + \delta) \zeta(1 - \alpha + \gamma)} A_{-\beta,-\alpha,\gamma,\delta}(1) \\ &\quad - \frac{X^{-\gamma-\delta}}{(\gamma + \delta)} \frac{\zeta(1 + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - \delta)}{\zeta(1 + \alpha - \gamma) \zeta(1 + \beta - \delta)} A_{\alpha-\gamma-\delta,\beta,-\delta,\delta}(1) \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{-\alpha-\beta} \left( \frac{t}{2\pi X} \right)^{\gamma+\delta} \frac{\zeta(1 + \gamma + \delta - \alpha - \beta)}{\zeta(1 - \alpha + \gamma) \zeta(1 - \beta + \delta) (\gamma + \delta)} A_{-\beta,\gamma+\delta-\alpha,-\delta,\delta}(1) \right) dt \\ &\quad + O(T^{1-\eta}) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\eta > 0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [BK1] E. B. Bogomolny and J. P. Keating. Random matrix theory and the Riemann zeros I: three- and four-point correlations. *Nonlinearity* 8 (1995), 1115–1131.
- [BK2] E. B. Bogomolny and J. P. Keating. Random matrix theory and the Riemann zeros II:  $n$ -point correlations. *Nonlinearity* 9 (1996), 911–935.
- [BK3] E. B. Bogomolny and J. P. Keating. Gutzwiller's trace formula and spectral statistics: Beyond the diagonal approximation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (1996), no. 8, 1472–1475.

- [BK4] E. B. Bogomolny and J. P. Keating. A method for calculating spectral statistics based on random-matrix universality with an application to the three-point correlations of the Riemann zeros. *J. Phys. A* 46 (2013), no. 30, 305203, 17 pp.
- [Bol] Joachim Bolanz. Über Die Montgomery'she Paarvermutung, Diplomarbeit 1987, 131 pages.
- [CFKRS1] J. B. Conrey, D. W. Farmer, J. P. Keating, M. O. Rubinstein and N. C. Snaith. Autocorrelation of random matrix polynomials. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 237 (2003), 365–395.
- [CFKRS2] J. B. Conrey, D. W. Farmer, J. P. Keating, M. O. Rubinstein and N. C. Snaith. Integral moments of  $L$ -functions. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 91 (2005), 33–104.
- [CFZ] J. B. Conrey, D. W. Farmer and M. R. Zirnbauer. Autocorrelation of ratios of  $L$ -functions. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* 2 (2008), 593–636.
- [CK1] J. B. Conrey and J. P. Keating. Moments of zeta and correlations of divisor-sums: I. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 373 (2015), 20140313; arXiv:1506.06842
- [CK2] J. B. Conrey and J. P. Keating. Moments of zeta and correlations of divisor-sums: II. In *Advances in the Theory of Numbers – Proceedings of the Thirteenth Conference of the Canadian Number Theory Association*, Fields Institute Communications (Editors: A. Alaca, S. Alaca & K.S. Williams), 75–85 (2015, Springer); arXiv:1506.06843
- [CK3] J. B. Conrey and J. P. Keating. Moments of zeta and correlations of divisor-sums: III. *Indagationes Mathematicae* 26 (2015), no. 5, 736–747; arXiv:1506.06844
- [CK4] J. B. Conrey and J. P. Keating. Moments of zeta and correlations of divisor-sums: IV. arXiv:1603.06893
- [CSn] J. B. Conrey and N. C. Snaith. Applications of the  $L$ -functions ratios conjectures. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 94 (2007), no. 3, 594–646.
- [DFI] W. Duke, J. B. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec. A quadratic divisor problem. *Invent. Math.* 115 (1994), no. 2, 209–217.
- [G] D. A. Goldston. Are there infinitely many twin primes? preprint
- [GG] D. A. Goldston and S. M. Gonek. Mean value theorems for long Dirichlet polynomials and tails of Dirichlet series. *Acta Arith.* 84 (1998), no. 2, 155–192.
- [GM] D.A. Goldston, and H.L. Montgomery. Pair correlation of zeros and primes in short intervals. In *Analytic number theory and Diophantine problems* (Stillwater, OK, 1984), 183–203, *Progr. Math.* 70 (1987), Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA.
- [HL] G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood. Contributions to the theory of the Riemann zeta-function and the theory of the distribution of primes. *Acta Mathematica* 41 (1918), 119–196.
- [K] J.P. Keating (1993), Quantum chaology and the Riemann zeta-function. In *Quantum Chaos*, eds. G. Casati, I. Guarneri & U. Smilansky, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993), 145–185.
- [KS] J.P. Keating and N.C. Snaith. Random matrix theory and  $\zeta(1/2 + it)$ . *Commun. Math. Phys.* 214 (2000), 57–89.
- [Mon] H. L. Montgomery. The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function. *Analytic number theory*, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, Vol. XXIV, St. Louis Univ., St. Louis, Mo., 1972, pp. 181–193. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.
- [MS] H. L. Montgomery and K. Soundararajan. Primes in short intervals. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 252 (2004), 589–617.

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, 600 EAST BROKAW RD., SAN JOSE, CA 95112, USA AND  
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, BRISTOL BS8 1TW, UK  
*E-mail address:* conrey@aimath.org

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, BRISTOL BS8 1TW, UK  
*E-mail address:* j.p.keating@bristol.ac.uk